



STATE ATOMIC ENERGY CORPORATION "ROSATOM"

#### STRAIN RATE INFLUENCE ON DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF STEEL U10A

E.I. Karnaukhov, A.V. Pavlenko, S.N. Malyugina, D.N. Kazakov, A.S. Mayorova, S.S. Mokrushin

XIII Zababakhin Scientific Talks Macth 20-24, 2017

## Introduction



#### Mechanical properties of steel U10A

Material	Hardness	$\sigma_{\rm B}, kgf/mm_2$	σ <sub>0.2</sub> , kgf/mm <sup>2</sup>	δ, %	σ <sub>cж</sub> , kgf/mm²	Note
Steel U10A	197HB	55-65	22-35	55	440-480	
Quenched steel U10A	65HRC	172	-	-	530-540	heating 770-780°C tempering 140-160°C

#### The goal of this work:

-to investigate the influence of strain rate on the strength properties of steel U10A in as-received state and after quenching to use it for selecting the parameters of Jonhson-Cook model with Mie-Gruneisen equation.

$$Y = (A + B\varepsilon_p^n) \cdot \left(1 + c \ln \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_p}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \left[\frac{T - T_r}{T_m - T_r}\right]^m\right) \qquad P = \frac{\rho_0 C_0^2 \mu \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_0}{2}\right)\mu - \frac{a}{2}\mu^2\right]}{\left[1 - (S_1 - 1)\mu - S_2 \frac{\mu^2}{\mu + 1} - S_3 \frac{\mu^3}{(\mu + 1)^2}\right]} + (\gamma_0 + a\mu)E$$

Johnson, G.R. and W.H. Cook, A Constitutive Model and Data for Metals Subjected to Large Strains, High Strain Rates and High Temperatures. Presented at the Seventh International Symposium on Ballistics, The Hague, The Netherlands, April 1983.

			-		``	/			
	mass share element, %								
Steel	Carbon	Silicon	Manganese	Sulfur	Phosphorus	Chrome	Nickel	Copper	
grade			-	not more than					
U8A	0,75-0,85	0,17-0,33	0,17-0,28	0,018	0,025	0,12	0,12	0,2	
<b>U10A</b>	0,95-1,09	0,17-0,33	0,17-0,28	0,018	0,025	0,12	0,12	0,2	
U12A	1,16-1,23	0,17-0,33	0,17-0,28	0,018	0,025	0,12	0,12	0,2	

#### Chemical composition of steels U10A (GOST 1435-99)

2

## Samples of steel U10A under study







Micro structure of transverse (left) and longitudinal (right) sections of the sample in initial state (group I). Magnification ×500 Lamellar perlite Micro structure of transverse (left) and longitudinal (right) sections of the sample after quenching (group Z) Magnification ×500 Granular perlite

Material	$\rho,$ g/cm <sup>3</sup>	HV0,1 gf/mm <sup>2</sup>	$C_l,$ m/s	$C_s, m/s$	C <sub>0</sub> , m/s	E, MPa	G, MPa	<i>K</i> , MPa
Steel U10A in initial state (group I)	7,77	429-775	5977	3229	4671	209,6	81	169,6
Quenched steel U10A (group Z)	7,77	856-916	6654	3590	5205	259,3	100,1	201,5

#### **Properties of steel U10A samples under study**

As the result of quenching, the structure of steel U10A changed from lamellar perlite to granular perlite, Shear modulus G of steel U10A increased by 19%, Young modulus E compression modulus K – by 22..23%.

## **Experimental equipment**





A.V. Pavlenko, S.I. Balabin, O.E. Kozelkov, D.N. Kazakov. One-step light-gas gun for investigation into dynamic properties of structural materials in the range up to 40 GPa // pribory i tekhnika eksperimenta, 2013, №4, C.122-124.

S.N. Malyugina, V.V. Pereshitov, I.N. Lisitsyna. Two-channel interferometric complex VISAR for investigation into material properties under shock-wave loading // pribory i tekhnika eksperimenta, 2013, #2, p.127-129.

S.S. Mokrushin, N.B. Anikin, S.N. Malyugina, A.V. Pavlenko, A.A. Tyaktev. Interferometer with frequency-time multiplexing for investigation into material properties in shock-wave experiments // pribory i tekhnika eksperimenta, 2013, #4, p.107-109.

**Experimental equipment** 







$$\sigma_{sp} = 0.5 \cdot \rho_0 \cdot C_0 \cdot (W_0 - W_m + \delta W)$$
$$\delta W = \left(\frac{h}{C_0} - \frac{h}{C_l}\right) \cdot \frac{\left|\dot{W}_1 \cdot \dot{W}_2\right|}{\left|\dot{W}_1\right| + \dot{W}_2}$$
$$\frac{\dot{V}}{V_0} = \frac{\dot{W}}{2C_0}$$

$$\sigma_{hel} = 0.5 \cdot \rho_0 \cdot c_l \cdot W_{hel}$$

$$\sigma_{\tau} = 1.5 \cdot \sigma_{hel} \cdot (1 - c_0^2/c_l^2)$$

$$\tau_T = 0.75 \sigma_{hel} (1 - c_0^2 / c_l^2)$$

G.I. Kanel, V.E. Fortov, S.V. Razorenov, A.V. Utkin. Shock-wave phenomena in condensed media. M: Yanus-K, 1996.



#### Data on experiment set-up with samples of steel U 10A in initial state (group I)

	Impact						
Date and # of	Of thistory	Velocity	Mismat	Sample			
experiment	thickne	velocity	ch,	thickness			
	SS	$V_0, m/s$	mrad	h. mm			
	h <sub>y</sub> ,		iiiau				
	mm						
#106 of 23.10.15	1.986	809.2±2.4	1.9	4.001			
#107 of 26.10.15	1.989	626.9±1.9	0.37	3.998			
#108 of 27.10.15	1.983	397.2±2.0	0.78	3.998			
#109 of 28.10.15	1.984	197.1±0.6	0.65	3.998			
#113 of 05.11.15	1.991	171±2	-	4.003			
#114 of 06.11.15	1.984	751.8±2.3	2.3	3.994			
#115 of 10.11.15	1.991	1027.9±3.1	0.47	4.005			
#116 of 12.11.15	1.004	651.7±2	0.91	1.983			
#117 of 13.11.15	0.464	667.7±2.0	1.16	1.024			
#118 of 16.11.15	1.983	639.7±1.9	2.45	7.981			
#119* of 18.11.15	0.091	629.0±4.4	0.51	0.577			
#120 oof20.11.15	0.472	416.5±0.8	0.90	1.007			
#126 of 30.11.15	2.015	342.3±0.7	0.46	3.989			
In all experiments, steel U10A was used in initial state for							
impactors and samples							
* foil out of steel 12Kh18N10T was used for impactor							

## Data on experiment set-up with samples of quenched steel U 10A (group Z)

Date and # of experiment	Impactor thickness h <sub>y</sub> , mm	Velocity V <sub>0</sub> , m/s	Mismat ch, mrad	Sampl e thickn ess h <sub>o</sub> ,			
//122 10 12 15	1.010	202.4.1.2	0.05	mm			
#133от 10.12.15	1.912	393.4±1.2	0.85	3.941			
#135 от 14.12.15	1.908	987.5±2.9	0.98	3.949			
#137*от 18.12.15	0.51	409.6±0.8	0.28	0.868			
In all experiments, steel U10A was used in quenched state for impactors and samples * impactor – steel 30KhGSA							

**Experimental profiles of free surface velocity for steel U10A** in initial state (group I).  $V_0=171 - 1027.9 \text{ m/s } 2/4 \text{ mm.}$ 



Free surface velocity, km/s



Experimental profiles of free surface velocity For steel U10A in initial state (group I).  $V_0=647\pm20$  m/s and  $V_0=406\pm9$  m/s.



Free surface velocity, km/s





 $\tau = 0,75 \ \mu s$ ,

 $V_0 = 1027,9 \pm 3,1 \text{ m/s}$ 

Experiment #107  $\tau=0.68 \ \mu s$ ,  $V_0 = 626.9 \pm 1.9 \ m/s$  Experiment #114 τ=0,71 μs, V<sub>0</sub>= 751,8±2,3 m/s Experiment #106 τ=0,8 μs, V<sub>0</sub>=809,2±2,4 m/s

9

Experiments with samples of steel U10A in initial state (group I) at various duration of loading



Experiment #116 ( $\tau$ =0,35 µs, V<sub>0</sub>=651,7±2 m/s)



Experiment #117 ( $\tau$ =0,15 µs, V<sub>0</sub>=667,7±2,0 m/s)



Experiment # 119 ( $\tau$ = 0,04 µs, V<sub>0</sub> = 629,0±4,4)



Experiment #120 ( $\tau$ = 0,18 µs, V<sub>0</sub>=416,5±0,8)

It is seen that with decrease of shock-wave loading, the character of destruction changes, and the samples are destructed partially. In order to have more detailed information, it is necessary to conduct metallographic analysis.



Complete destruction like in experiment # 107 at  $V_0 = 626.9 \pm 1.9$  m/s



Experiment #118 ( $\tau$ = 0,59 µs, V<sub>0</sub> = 639,7±1,9)



Experiment #107  $\tau=0,68 \ \mu s,$  $V_0 = 626,9 \pm 1,9 \ m/s$ 

Experiments with the samples out of quenched steel U10A Compared velocity profiles W(t) for both groups of steel U10A. Experiments #108 and #133 (Vo ~ 400 m/s, 2/4 mm)





## Compared profiles of velocity W(t) for both groups of steel U10A samples. Experiments #115 and #135 (Vo ~ 1 km/s, 2/4 mm)





## Compared profiles of velocity W(t) for both groups of steel U10A samples. Experiments #120 and #137 (Vo ~ 400 m/s, 0.5/1 mm)









### Dependences of spallation strength on strain rate for both groups of steel U10A



The value  $\sigma_{sp}$  for samples of steel U10A (group I) that were subjected to phase transformation was in the range 3,2..3,4 GPa, while the value for the sample out of quenched steel U10A that was subjected to phase transformation was  $\sigma_{sp}$ =5,95 GPa. In experiments #133 and137  $\sigma_{sp}$ =4,45 Gpa and  $\sigma_{sp}$ =5,28 GPa.



In experiments for the samples with the thickness 4 mm, the values  $\sigma_{hel}$  increased from 1,7... 1,9 GPa up to 3,6... 3,9 GPa, and for the samples with the thickness 1 mm – from 2,7...2,8 up to 5,7 GPa. The values of dynamic yield limit  $\sigma_{\tau}$  and shear stress  $\tau_t$  also increased. For the samples with the thickness 1 mm, the values  $\sigma_{\tau}$  increased from 1,4...1,6 up to 3,1 GPa and  $\tau_t$  – from 0,8 up to 1,6 GPa, and for the samples with the thickness 4 mm –  $\sigma_{\tau}$  from 1,1 up to 1,8 GPa and  $\tau_{\tau}$  cfrom 0,5 up to 0,9 Gpa.

15

## CONCLUSION



In experimental physics division, on single-stage light-gas gun LGP-1200, we conducted shock-wave experiments on investigation into dynamic properties of steel U10A samples in initial state and after quenching.

The goal of investigation was to determine unknown dynamic properties of carbon steel U10A and to use experimental profiles of sample free surface velocity for selecting the parameters of Johnson-Cook model with Mie-Gruneisen equation of state.

According to the results of investigation into dynamic properties of steel U10A in initial state (group I), it is possible to make the following conclusions:

- in initial state, the material has tendency to harden in the range of strain rate from  $0,03 \cdot 10^6$  up to  $1,3 \cdot 10^6$  s<sup>-1</sup>;

- in the process of shock wave propagation, dynamic elastic strength  $\sigma_{hel}$  monotonously decreases from 2,8 GPa at a distance 0,5 mm up to 1,6 Gpa at a distance 8 mm;

- dynamic yield limit decreases from  $\sigma_{\tau}$  decreases from 1,63 GPa up to 0,9 GPa;

- shear stress  $\tau_{T}$  decreases from 0,9 GPa up to 0,47 GPa.

The comparison of the results of dynamic properties measurement for the samples out of quenched steel U10A and the same steel in initial state shows that:

- quenched steel U10A has 1,35..1,4 times higher value of spallation strength;

-dynamic elastic strength  $\sigma_{hel}$ , yield limit  $\sigma_{\tau}$  and shear stress  $\tau_t$  increased in quenched steel U10A, namely: in experiments with the samples 4 mm thick, the values  $\sigma_{hel}$  increased from 1,7... 1,9 GPa up to 3,6... 3,9 GPa, and with the samples 1 mm thick – from 2,7...2,8 up to 5,7 GPa. For the samples 1 mm thick, the values  $\sigma_{\tau}$  increased from 1,4... 1,6 up to 3,1 GPa and  $\tau_t$  – from 0,8 up to 1,6 GPa, and for the samples 4 mm thick,  $\sigma_{\tau}$  increased from 1,1 up to 1,8 GPa and  $\tau_r$  increased from 0,5 up to 0,9 Gpa, respectively.

- dynamic elastic strength  $\sigma_{hel}$ , yield limit  $\sigma_{\tau}$  and shear stress  $\tau_t$  also monotonously decrease, as far as the thickness of the samples increases.





# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !

